

Witness: SIS Witness  
Party: 5<sup>th</sup> Respondent  
Number: 2  
Exhibit: SIS exhibit  
Date: 08.02.17

Case No. IPT/15/110/CH

IN THE INVESTIGATORY POWERS TRIBUNAL  
BETWEEN:

PRIVACY INTERNATIONAL

Claimant

and

- (1) SECRETARY OF STATE FOR FOREIGN AND COMMONWEALTH  
AFFAIRS
- (2) SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE HOME DEPARTMENT
- (3) GOVERNMENT COMMUNICATION HEADQUARTERS
- (4) SECURITY SERVICE
- (5) SECRET INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

Respondents

---

WITNESS STATEMENT OF SIS WITNESS

---

I, SIS witness, of the Secret Intelligence Service (SIS), Vauxhall Cross, London, SE1, will say as follows:

1. [REDACTED] In my current role, I oversee the compliance of SIS operations with the law and other relevant guidance and directives. In that context, I attend the six monthly meetings of the Data Retention Review Board. I also have overall responsibility for SIS' engagement with oversight bodies, the Courts, Inquiries, Inquests, and Tribunals, including the Investigatory Powers Tribunal.
2. I am authorised to make this witness statement on behalf of SIS. The contents of this statement are within my own knowledge and are true to the best of my

knowledge and belief. Where matters are not within my own knowledge they are based upon documentation made available to me and from discussions with others within SIS.

3. Attached to this statement, and marked 'SIS exhibit' is a bundle of relevant documents. Save where otherwise stated, page numbers below refer to that exhibit.
4. This statement addresses the restrictions which would be placed were SIS to transfer Bulk Personal Datasets ("BPD") to foreign partners and/or UK LEAs since March 2015.

#### OVERVIEW OF SAFEGUARDS

5. In February 2015, a joint SIA BPD policy was agreed which set out the Agencies' policy in relation to Bulk Personal Data. It stated that "*each Agency must have arrangements in place for the effective management and legal compliance of BPD throughout its lifecycle.*" Specifically in relation to sharing, the policy stated the following:

*"All three Agencies have a common interest in acquiring and interrogating BPD. As a principle, all three Agencies will seek to acquire once and use many times, on the grounds of business effectiveness and efficiency. The following policy statements apply to the Agencies:*

*When sharing BPD the supplying Agency must be satisfied that it is necessary and proportionate to share the data with the other Agency/Agencies; and the receiving Agency/Agencies must be satisfied that it is necessary and proportionate to acquire the data in question. A log of data sharing will be maintained by each agency;*

*The sharing of BPD must be authorised in advance by a senior individual within each Agency, and no action to share may be taken without such authorisation;*

*Agencies must protect sensitive datasets (or certain fields within a dataset) when sharing, if the risk or intrusion in doing so is not judged to be necessary or proportionate;*

*BPD must not be shared with non-SIA third parties without prior agreement from the acquiring Agency;*

Were BPD to be shared with overseas liaison the relevant necessity and proportionality tests for onwards disclosure under the SSA or ISA would have to be met. In the event that one (UK) Agency wished to disclose externally a dataset originally acquired by another Agency, Action-On would have to be sought in advance from the acquiring Agency. Wider legal, political and operational risks would also have to be considered, as appropriate.

The Agencies may share applications (which in turn could provide access to another Agency's BPD holdings) as judged appropriate in line with SIA Information Policy on commissioning."

6. Open Handling Arrangements, which were published on 4 November 2015 and applied to the obtaining, use and disclosure of BPD, included details of procedures and safeguards for the disclosure of bulk personal data outside the relevant Intelligence Service. Paragraphs 5.2 and 8.1 detail the key safeguards, including access control, and state that any disclosure must be necessary and proportionate in accordance with SIS's statutory functions and purposes.

Paragraphs 6.0-6.7 set out the guidelines for the disclosure of BPD outside of SIS, including the need to consider whether to place restrictions when sharing BPD/sub-sets of BPDs, as follows:

*"Before disclosing any bulk personal data, staff must take reasonable steps to ensure that the intended recipient organisation has and will maintain satisfactory arrangements for safeguarding the confidentiality of the data and ensuring that it is securely handled, or that they have received satisfactory assurances from the intended recipient organisation with respect to such arrangements.*

*These conditions must be met for all disclosure, including between the Intelligence Services.*

*These conditions for disclosure apply equally to the disclosure of an entire bulk personal dataset, a subset of the dataset, or an individual piece of data from the dataset.*

*Disclosure of the whole (or a subset) of a bulk personal dataset is subject to internal authorisation procedures in addition to those that apply to an item of data. The authorisation process requires an application to a senior manager designated for the purpose, describing the dataset it is proposed to disclose*

*(in whole or in part) and setting out the operational and legal justification for the proposed disclosure along with the other information specified in paragraph 4.7, and whether any caveats or restrictions should be applied to the proposed disclosure. This is so that the senior manager can then consider the factors in paragraph 6.1, with operational, legal and policy advice as appropriate. In difficult cases, the relevant Intelligence Service may seek guidance or a decision from the Secretary of State."*

7. In addition, the internal SIS Handling Arrangements came into force in November 2015, which included specific guidance to staff on the sharing of BPD with foreign partners, including the following:

*"The sharing of BPD is carefully managed to ensure that disclosure only takes place when it is justified on the basis of the relevant statutory disclosure gateway. The decision to share a BPD outside SIS rests with the senior SIS official."*

8. The guidance also notes that:

*"In the event that SIS deemed it was necessary and proportionate to disclose BPD to a liaison service, the same legal disclosure tests would need to be applied as when sharing with SIA partners. As part of SIS's analysis of whether disclosure is in line with its legal obligations, in the event that SIS shares BPD with a liaison service, SIS would require any such service to agree to rigorous requirements in relation to the safeguarding of that BPD. These safeguards would cover, amongst other things, access to the BPD, use (in terms of systems as well as purpose), and onward disclosure and will be set out on handling instructions that accompany each BPD.*

*The disclosure of BPD is carefully managed by the relevant team to ensure that disclosure only occurs when it is permitted under ISA 1994 and that clear necessity and proportionality cases are evidenced. Responsibility for disclosure of BPD rests with a senior SIS official in the relevant team."*

#### SHARING WITH INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS

9. I am unable to confirm or deny in this OPEN statement whether there has been any agreement to share BPD with foreign liaison partners or LEAs since 11 March 2015.

10. Were SIS to share BPD with a foreign partner it would consider any such proposal on a case by case basis, taking into account a number of factors.

- a. The nature of the partner with whom we are sharing. This includes considering the history we have of sharing intelligence with that partner; their data capability and practices; and their history of compliance, either where we have previously shared data or where we have shared actionable intelligence.
- b. The purpose for which it is envisaged BPD will be shared. This covers two considerations: firstly, the necessity case for SIS. At the highest level this means that there must be a requirement to share the BPD to assist SIS in meeting one of the four purposes for which information can be shared under section 2(2) ISA. Secondly, the purpose for which SIS understands that the recipient partner wishes to obtain BPD.

[REDACTED]

#### SHARING WITH LEAs

11. The safeguards (as set out at paragraphs 5-8 above) apply to SIS sharing BPD with LEAs. I am unable to confirm or deny in this OPEN statement whether that has been any agreement to share BPD with a LEA since 11 March 2015.
12. Whilst we can neither confirm nor deny whether the SIA have agreed to share or in fact do share BPD with either foreign liaison or LEA, were we to do so, we would
  - Follow the principles and approach set out in our respective Handling Arrangements and policy/guidance.
  - Take into account the nature of the BPD that was due to be disclosed.
  - Take into account the nature of the body to which we were considering disclosing the BPD.

I believe that the facts in this witness statement are true.

S/S Witness

Dated: 9 February 2017